Joel N. Bock joel.bock@dentons.com Andrew M. Grodin andrew.grodin@dentons.com Dentons US LLP 101 JFK Parkway Short Hills, New Jersey 07078 (973) 912-7100

Of Counsel:

Mark L. Hogge (pro hac vice pending)
mark.hogge@dentons.com
Shailendra Maheshwari
shailendra.maheshwari@dentons.com
Nicholas H. Jackson (pro hac vice pending)
nicholas.jackson@dentons.com
Dentons US LLP
1301 K. Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-6400

Anne K. W. Sutton (pro hac vice pending) anne.sutton@dentons.com
Dentons US LLP
233 South Wacker Drive
Suite 7800
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 876-8000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Synchronoss Technologies, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Plaintiff,	Civil Action No Document filed electronically
V.	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND

Defendants.

F-Secure Corporation, F-Secure,

Inc.

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Synchronoss Technologies, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "Synchronoss") is a company incorporated under the laws of Delaware having a business address at 200 Crossing Blvd., 8th Floor, Bridgewater, New Jersey 08807.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant F-Secure Corporation a company incorporated under the laws of Finland and domiciled in Helsinki with an address at Tammasaarenkatu 7, 00180 Helsinki.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant F-Secure Inc. is a California
 Corporation with an address of 1735 Technology Drive, Suite 850, San Jose, CA 95110.
- 4. Upon information and belief, F-Secure Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of F-Secure Corporation.
- 5. Upon information and belief, F-Secure, Inc. may be served through its registered agent, Registered Paracorp Incorporated, 2804 Gateway Oaks Drive, #200, Sacramento, CA 95833.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and original jurisdiction under 1338(a).
- 7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the patent infringement claims herein have taken place and are still taking place in this judicial district.
- 8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over F-Secure Corporation and F-Secure Inc. (collectively "Defendants") because Defendants are engaged in substantial and not

isolated activities within this state, including providing, using, offering for sale, and selling their infringing product YOUNITED within this state and judicial district. Due to these infringing activities, Synchronoss has suffered injury in this judicial district. Upon information and belief, Defendants also directly solicit, promote, and provide their infringing product YOUNITED in this state and judicial district through its active website at www.younited.com/en/home, from which consumers can directly access and download the YOUNITED product.

SYNCHRONOSS'S PATENTS

- 9. On December 30, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,671,757 (the "'757 Patent"), entitled "Data Transfer and Synchronization System." A true and correct copy of the '757 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff is the owner of the '757 patent by assignment.
- 10. On June 29, 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,757,696 (the "696 Patent"), entitled "Management Server for Synchronization System." A true and correct copy of the '696 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Plaintiff is the owner of the '696 patent by assignment.
- 11. On September 8, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,587,446 (the "'446 Patent'), entitled "Acquisition And Synchronization Of Digital Media To A Personal Information Space." A true and correct copy of the '446 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C. Plaintiff is the owner of the '446 patent by assignment.

COUNT I — INFRINGEMENT OF THE '757 PATENT

12. Synchronoss restates and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 11

as if fully stated herein.

- 13. Defendants have infringed and are still infringing the '757 patent by making, using, offering for sale, or selling the YOUNITED product, and Defendants will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 14. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '757 Patent, Synchronoss is suffering damages and irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II — INFRINGEMENT OF THE '696 PATENT

- 15. Synchronoss restates and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 14 as if fully stated herein.
- 16. Defendants have infringed and are still infringing the '696 patent by making, using, offering for sale, or selling the YOUNITED product, and Defendants will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' direct infringement of the '696 patent, Synchronoss is suffering damages and irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT III — INFRINGEMENT OF THE '446 PATENT

- 18. Synchronoss restates and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully stated herein.
- 19. Defendants have infringed and are still infringing the '446 patent by making, using, offering for sale, or selling the YOUNITED product, and Defendants will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
 - 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' direct infringement of the

'446 Patent, Synchronoss is suffering damages and irreparable injury for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

JURY DEMAND

21. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable to the jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands:

- (a) judgment that Defendants have infringed each of the asserted patents;
- (b) permanent injunctive relief prohibiting further infringement of the asserted patents;
- (c) damages for Defendants' infringements;
- (d) prejudgment interest on the damages;
- (e) costs for this lawsuit; and
- (f) any other relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: October 7, 2014 s/ Andrew M. Grodin

Joel N. Bock

joel.bock@dentons.com

Andrew M. Grodin

and rew.grod in @dentons.com

Dentons US LLP

101 JFK Parkway

Short Hills, NJ 07078-2708

Telephone: (973) 912-7100

Mark L. Hogge (*pro hac vice* pending)

mark.hogge@dentons.com

Shailendra Maheshwari

shailendra.maheshwari@dentons.com

Nicholas H. Jackson (pro hac vice pending)

nicholas.iackson@dentons.com

Dentons US LLP

1301 K. Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 408-6400

Anne K. W. Sutton (*pro hac vice* pending) anne.sutton@dentons.com
Dentons US LLP
233 South Wacker Drive
Suite 7800
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 876-8000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Synchronoss Technologies, Inc.